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The scenario

- New network monitoring systems call for novel methods for
  - Expressing arbitrary queries
  - **Scheduling multiple competing queries**

- SHENESYS addresses the latter aspect
  - Schedule arbitrary queries in a resource constrained environment
  - Guarantee some level of quality of service

- Traditional resource management techniques are not viable
  - Push-based systems
    - Input data rates decided by external sources that cannot be controlled
  - Continuous input stream with extremely high data rates
    - Real-time constraints not only on responses but also in the input
  - Arbitrary computation
    - Incoming traffic unknown and unpredictable
**Challenges**

- **Traffic is unpredictable and bursty in nature**
  - Bursts can be several orders of magnitude higher than typical traffic
  - Provisioning to line speed might imply waste of resources
  - Bursts often produce different data than ordinary traffic

- **Queries are unknown a-priori, arbitrary and complex**
  - Resource over-provisioning is not a solution
  - Relational languages are usually not flexible enough to express even the simplest network queries

- **Runtime profiling of resource usage is needed**
  - Given a query resource consumption cannot be known before actually running it, even when knowing the input traffic
  - Need to understand correlation between traffic features and resource consumption of queries to be able to estimate resource consumption
Challenges

- Provide QoS to arbitrary queries in a resource constrained environment
  - Network queries usually have QoS requirements in terms of response delay and accuracy
  - Not meeting QoS requirements can lead to useless results

- Robustness in front of network anomalies and attacks
  - Anomalies usually produce more resource consumption than usual
  - Monitoring systems are especially needed when network is at risk
  - Malicious users may try also to attack directly the monitoring system to cover up their actions
Objectives

- Predict resource usage of arbitrary queries
  - Profile CPU, memory and I/O usage of arbitrary queries
  - Find traffic features from packet stream that exhibit correlation with resource usage of queries

- Implement mechanisms to shed excess of load
  - Postpone or deny queries
  - Reduce accuracy of queries (e.g. via packet sampling)
  - Reuse or share computations among different queries

- Design and evaluate scheduling algorithms
  - Apply load shedding mechanisms to meet QoS requirements of most queries while maximizing the utility of the system
    - Utility can be a function of delay, accuracy and priority
  - Fast to early detect shortage of resources and avoid packet loss
Objectives

- Build a complex resource management system
  - Build a prototype in CoMo as a case study
  - Test robustness of resource management techniques in front of network anomalies and attacks

- Contribute to the main development of CoMo
  - Build complex modules with different resource consumption patterns than existing CoMo modules
    - Identification of network applications
    - Anomaly and intrusion detection
    - Network forensic applications
  - Others
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Work done

- **Capture** operates on time bins
  - Ease the process of checking if there are enough resources to process a batch before the arrival of the next batch
  - Circular buffers were needed
  - Rewriting of libpcap and ERF sniffers

- On-line computing and logging of batch features
  - #pkts, #bytes, #unique_hashes_batch, #unique_hashes_table, #flushes_batch_will_cause, etc.
  - Probably more features will be needed for more complex modules

- On-line profiling and logging of CPU usage per module
  - TSC, system/userland cycles, L1, L2 and L3 (Xeon) cache misses, context switches, etc.
    - Callbacks (depend on the module)
    - Overhead (does not depend directly on the module)
      - Allocating memory, creating/flushing tables, etc.
Work done

• Analysis of correlation between features of batches and CPU usage for standard modules
  - Tuple: #pkts, #unique_hashes_table
  - The rest: #pkts
  - #bytes is expected to matter for modules processing payloads (when collecting full packets)

• Analysis of techniques to predict CPU usage and study of prediction error
  - Prediction methods: Linear prediction, multiple linear prediction, etc.
    • All history, last 1 sec, 10 sec, 1 min, etc.
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Callback cycles

module: tuple predictor: pkts response: sumusr

corrcor: 0.948688
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Average sumusr/packet timeseries (module: tuple)

- Average sumusr/packet vs. batch number
- Different markers and colors for each module (1-10)
- Graph shows trends and variations over time
Callback cycles

module: tuple  predictor: pkts  response: sumusr

![Graph showing the relationship between pkts/batch and sumusrcycles for different module numbers (1 to 10).]
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System vs. userland cycles
Measurement overhead/error
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**Module:** tuple  **Predictor:** pkts  **Response:** prctsc

Corrcoef: 0.954089
Reality

\[ \times 10^6 \]

**Module:** tuple  **Predictor:** pkts  **Response:** prctsc

**Corrcoef:**
0.581468

**Diagram:**
- X-axis: pkts/batch
- Y-axis: prctscycles
- Scatter plot with correlation line
Can we predict that?
Linear regression prediction (10 sec)
Linear regression prediction (10 sec)
Linear regression prediction (10 sec)

module: tuple predictor: pkts response: prctsc

- correlation coefficient
- batch number
Multiple linear regression prediction (10 sec)
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Effects of context switches
Removing samples with context switches
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Work plan (deadline: August 2006)

- Implement on-line prediction in CoMo
  - Based on multiple linear regression
  - Implement a method for feature selection

- Study and improve robustness of on-line prediction mechanism in presence network anomalies and attacks

- Detect when there are no enough CPU cycles available to process a batch before the next batch arrival
  - To simplify, we might assume that capture is running alone

- Linear optimization to schedule modules in capture
  - Utility of module is given as input
  - Simple load shedding: Stop serving batches to certain modules
Work plan (deadline: August 2006)

- Analysis of more complex modules
  - e.g. SNORT, Autograph, etc.

- Do the same for memory

- First analysis of export and other load shedding mechanisms

- Improve the profiling/logging mechanism
  - Queriable through CoMoLive!

- Submit a paper to a conference and write a research report for project renewal
Short term work plan (deadline: ~March 2006)

- Implement on-line multiple linear regression in CoMo
- Implement a fast feature selection algorithm in CoMo
  - Remove irrelevant and/or relevant attributes
  - e.g. adaptation of Fast Correlation Based Filter (FCBF)
- Modify capture to generate artificial anomalies and attacks
  - Network scans, DoS, elephant flows, etc.
- Improve resource measurement functionalities
  - Independent measurements per logical and physical CPU's
    - Check for processor switches during measures
    - Support for deactivating cache
- Minor tasks
  - Repair SNORT module, etc.
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Other tasks

- Other tasks more related with the main development of CoMo

- Master Thesis' students
  - Derek Hossack working on Autograph
  - Possible topics for new Master Thesis' students
    - Anomaly detection improving the *anomaly-ewma* module
    - Identification of network applications based on heuristic techniques (port of the method already implemented in SMARTxAC)
    - Suggestions?

- Support and maintenance of CoMo nodes
  - CESCA
  - Possibly internal testing nodes at UPCnet
Equipment

- Equipment available at CCABA that can be used for Shenesys (and CoMo Master Thesis' students):
  - Intel Xeon 3.0 GHz dual processor (giro.ccaba.upc.edu)
  - Intel Pentium IV 3.0 GHz (parellada.ccaba.upc.edu)
  - 2 x Endace 4.3 GE cards
  - 2 x SysKonnect SK98
  - Trimble Acutime 2000 GPS receiver
  - TDS module
  - Optical splitters

- como-upc CVS: tempranillo.ccaba.upc.edu
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Profiling CoMo modules

- **Goal:** profiling CoMo modules' callbacks
  - Cycles: user space, system space, total
  - Cache (L1, L2, L3): hits and misses
- **Using Performance Monitoring Counters (PMCs) of the Pentium IV**
  - Subset of its Model Specific Registers (MSRs) (not available on Pentium III, etc)
- **Relevant documentation:**
Performance Monitoring Events

- Counting cycles:
  - TSC: timestamp counter
    - Increments on each CPU cycle
    - 64 bit (vs all other counters: 40 bit). Overflow each >10 years.
    - Architectural register, not model-specific
  - Non-halted clockticks
    - Increments on each non-halted CPU cycle (does not increment during I/O, etc)
    - Hyperthreading: can count per-logical-processor
    - Can count only system cycles, user cycles, or both
Performance Monitoring Events

• Cache misses:
  - L1:
    • no way to count misses provided by the Pentium IV
    • count instructions *replayed* due to L1 miss
  - L2, L3: can count cache misses (Only Xeon Processors have L3)
Access to PMCs

• Write operations:
  – Needed to choose what metrics are of interest (done once per CoMo execution)
  – Linux offers an interface to PMCs, so we use it: the /dev/cpu/*/msr virtual device

• Read operations on PMCs:
  – Read msr virtual device VS execute the rdpmc instruction
  – Read access using msr virtual device too slow, but rdpmc forbidden by linux
  – We are reading PMCs intensively
  – Wrote a Linux kernel module that enables userland execution of the rdpmc (read PMC) instruction (which is not permitted by default)

• Reading the TSC:
  – rdtsc instruction, allowed from user space by default
Configuration of PMCs

- **Configuration of PMCs:**
  - tsc: nothing to do
  - others:
    - 1) determine event to monitor (cache misses, instructions replayed, or cycles)
    - 2) choose an appropriate event selection control register (ESCR)
    - 3) configure the ESCR to select the event to monitor
    - 4) choose an appropriate performance counter
    - 4) configure its configuration control register (CCCR) to enable counting
**Preventing instruction reordering**

- The Pentium IV can reorder instruction execution
  - Speculative execution of instructions (branch prediction)
  - Memory reordering
- Serializing instructions force the processor to complete all modifications to flags, registers, etc before execution of next instruction.
  - no instruction after a serializing operation can be executed before
  - no instruction before the serializing op can be executed after
- Serializing operations can impact on CPU performance
  - results of speculatively executed instructions are discarded
- The `cpuid` serializing instruction can be used to increase the accuracy of the PMCs:
  - we will not measure instructions that do not belong to callbacks
  - `cpuid; read pmcs; cpuid; call_module_callback; cpuid; read pmcs; cpuid`
  - we still need to check impact on performance
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Packet filtering in CoMo

• Initial situation
  - Packet filters compiled at runtime and loaded as a shared library.
    (undesirable because not portable to some architectures, e.g. ARM).
  - When querying, users have to write packet filters exactly in the same way they are configured in the system.

• New implementation of the filter parser
  - Using a CFG and Flex/Bison.
  - Filters are seen as “expression trees”.
  - No longer needed to compile filters at runtime (> portability)
  - Users only have to write semantically equivalent filters when querying (more flexibility).
  - Looked into more advanced packet filtering methods (PTree, Tuple Space Search), but discarded them for now.
  - Future: probably use a method similar to BPF filtering.
Interarrival module

- Outputs the packet timestamps for each 5-tuple \((protocol, source ip, source port, dest ip, dest port)\).

- Used in experiments at IRC about inferring access network load and distinguishing between wired and wireless traffic, by Valeria Baiamonte (Politecnico di Torino).
CoMo “Inline”

- Command-line operation support for CoMo (as in other tools like tcpdump).
- The user can specify a module, filter, format and time interval as command-line options and directly get the output, without the need of HTTP queries.
Porting CoMo to ARM

- **Objective:** make CoMo work in machines with an ARM architecture (like the Crossbow Stargate for wireless monitoring).

- **Main issue:** data structures and memory accesses must be 4-byte aligned.

- **Future work:** Tamper resistant wireless network monitoring, by K.P. McGrath (University of Limerick).
“Source” modules

- Added “source” option to queries.

- When serving a query, it is now possible to use the data stored by another module as input, through the replay() callback of that module.

- Makes it possible to launch queries with a time interval in the past for modules that do not store enough data themselves (e.g. topdest or topports).